AGENDA
MAY 2023 MEETING
RDA Steering Committee
ALA Headquarters, Chicago
17-19 May 2023

Attending:
Renate Behrens, RSC Chair
Charlene Chou, RSC Wider Community Engagement Officer
Charlotte Christensen, RSC Oceania representative
Ahava Cohen, RSC Europe representative
Szabolcs Dancs, RSC Translations Team Liaison Officer
Kathy Glennan, RSC Past Chair
James Hennelly, Director, ALA Digital Reference (RDA publisher)
Damian Iseminger, RSC Technical Team Liaison Officer
Robert Maxwell, RSC North America representative
Honor Moody, RDA Examples Editor
Elisa Sze, RSC Education and Orientation Officer
John Trevor-Allen, RDA Board Chair
Anne Welsh, RSC Secretary

Observers are welcome to attend online on Thursday 18 May. Registration details on website.

Agenda item numbering continues from the previous meeting.
Executive Session 1
Tuesday 17 May, 1:30 to 5 pm with a coffee break

373 Catching up and review of past action items -- Led by Renate Behrens

374 Outcomes of the Joint Meeting RDA Board and RSC -- Led by Renate Behrens and John Trevor-Allen

375 Update RSC Action Plan -- Led by Renate Behrens
   Current plan: RSC/Chair/2023/1. Included in the appendix.

376 Education and Training: Discussion of future plans and possibility of extension of the Education and Orientation Officer role – Renate Behrens and Elisa Sze

377 Fast Tracks – Renate Behrens and Anne Welsh

378 End of day discussion and thank you from Chair
Public session
Thursday 18 May, 8:30 am to 12:30 pm local time with a coffee break; 12:30 to 1:30 pm lunch break; 1:30 to 5 pm with a coffee break

Observers are welcome to attend online. Registration details on website.

379 Welcome, introductions, and clarification of process/participation – Renate Behrens (RSC Chair)

380 RSC/PlacesWG/2023/1 - Jurisdictions, Governments, and Courts in RDA – Led by Renate Behrens

381 Soft-deprecated elements – information from Damian Iseminger (Technical Team Liaison Officer)

382 Progress in the development of the RDA Toolkit’s Community Resources area – Information from James Hennelly (Director of ALA Digital Reference)

383 Education and Training – an update from Elisa Sze (Education and Orientation Officer). Elisa will highlight her report and webinar for the benefit of observers, and provide a brief verbal update on her recent actions and current call for input from RDA community members.

384 Liaisons with other international cataloguing organisations – Renate Behrens
An overview for the benefit of observers, and a verbal update on latest developments.

385 Wider Engagement – Charlene Chou (Wider Community Engagement Officer)
A verbal update on her latest activities.

386 End of day discussion and thank you from Chair
Executive Session 2
Friday 19 May, 8:30 am to 12:30 pm with a coffee break; 12:30 to 1:30 pm lunch break;
1:30 to 3 pm with a coffee break

387  RDA Toolkit Community Resources
387.1 Update – James Hennelly
387.2 Discussion of issue raised by NARDAC in the January meeting – Introduced by Robert Maxwell

388  July Meeting – Renate Behrens

389  October Meeting and RDA Satellite meetings -- Led by Renate Behrens and Jamie Hennelly

390  Thanks to Melissa Parent for her service as Oceania Representative, March 2020-February 2023 – Renate Behrens

391  Any Other Business (AOB) and end of day discussion – Renate Behrens

392  Review of meeting and thank you from Chair
APPENDIX

375 Update RSC Action Plan

RSC/Chair/2023/1 was published on the RSC website on 17 February 2023.

RSC/Chair/2023/1 last updated: 16 February 2023

RSC Action Plan 2023-2025

Note

This Action Plan updates RSC/Chair/2022/5 RSC Action Plan 2022-2024.

There will be a further update later in 2023, once the RDA Board has published its Strategic Plan.

Standing Tasks (done every year):

- Direct RDA development to ensure continued alignment with the governing objectives:
  - Responsiveness to user needs
  - Cost efficiency
  - Flexibility
  - Continuity
  - Internationalization
- Report yearly on progress toward internationalization of the standard
- Add and adjust examples
- Provide content updates of RDA for Toolkit releases
- Continue RDA editorial cleanup (both in the Registry and the CMS)
- Continue guidance chapter development
- Be responsive to user feedback
- Review membership and tasks of all RSC Working Groups, adjusting as needed
- Interact with RSC Working Groups, monitoring their progress and providing input as needed
- Act on submitted discussion papers or proposals
- Provide expertise and support for RDA communities
- Provide outreach to new communities as opportunities arise
- Update RSC operations documents and create additional procedural documents as needed
- Refine processes (for translations, for policy statement updating, for Registry updating, etc.)
• Be alert to developments with IFLA LRM, ICP review, IFLA MuDiCat, ISSN, ISBD, and Records in contexts—International Council on Archives (RiC-IAD) for their impact on RDA

2023

1 Develop RDA as a responsive and dynamic standard
   a. Offer support to communities interested in developing discussion papers and proposals
   b. Contribute to the development of the Strategic Plan for RDA 2023-2025
   c. Continue review of Community Resources area and communicate decisions to users
   d. Provide support for training for those transitioning to the official Toolkit
   e. Address placement, potential integration, and functionality of application profiles within the Toolkit
   f. First the Technical Working Group, then the RSC:
      1) Prepare new guidance chapter on collection level description
      2) Begin BIBFRAME mapping
      3) Review the concept of performance aggregates (amalgamation instructions)
   g. Begin planning for removal of soft deprecated elements
   h. Undertake a review to identify inconsistent language and use of terms
   i. Toolkit development goals that impact the RSC:
      1) Consolidate the RSC website with other related Drupal sites, including development of space for regional committees and better sharing of content
      2) Development of mapping tool
      3) Implement Community Resources access for both CMS users and non-CMS users

2 Increase the adoption of RDA
   a. Continue to support regional groups
   b. Investigate an affiliate RSC membership status for regions not yet represented on the RSC, with an eye toward moving those regions to full membership status
   c. Provide orientation and support for RSC Representative from Latin America and the Caribbean when appointed

3 Provide relevant governance
   a. Recruit or re-appoint individuals to the following RSC positions: RDA Examples Editor, Wider Community Engagement Officer
   b. Review existing protocols and assigned liaisons with other information standards groups, considering new alignment(s) with IFLA
2024

1 Develop RDA as a responsive and dynamic standard
   a. Together with the RDA Board, review the status of countdown clock and community implementations of RDA
   b. Continue review of Community resources area and communicate decisions to users
   c. Continue BIBFRAME mapping
   d. Continue planning for removal of soft deprecated elements
   e. Further the development of the Collective Agent entity by creating a working group
   f. Re-establish the Music Working Group
   g. Further develop Nomen and Timespan instructions
   h. Toolkit development goals that impact the RSC:
      1) Development of RDA templates

2 Increase the adoption of RDA
   a. Begin outreach to archival (or other) communities in collaboration with RDA Board, as appropriate

3 Provide relevant governance
   a. Recruit or re-appoint individuals to the following RSC positions: Technical Team Liaison Officer, Translations Team Liaison Officer

2025

1 Develop RDA as a responsive and dynamic standard
   a. If needed, review status of countdown clock and community implementations of RDA together with the RDA Board
   b. Begin executing plan for removal of soft deprecated elements

2 Increase the adoption of RDA
   a. Continue outreach to archival (or other) communities in collaboration with RDA Board, as appropriate

3 Provide relevant governance
   a. Establish new Archives Working Group
   b. Recruit or re-appoint individuals to the following RSC positions: Secretary, RDA Examples Editor, Wider Community Engagement Officer
   c. Recruit for RSC Chair-Elect
Abstract

This proposal examines the treatment of jurisdiction as ‘a place that is governed by a law, regulation, constitution, court rule, or other legislation enacted by a corporate body that is a government’ in RDA and proposes changes RDA to harmonize this concept with elements related to corporate bodies that are governments and courts.

Background

6JSC/TechnicalWG/4 concerning court and jurisdiction in RDA was submitted to the RSC in August 2014 and was accepted in principle at the November 2014 RSC meeting. The current iteration of the Places Working Group has reviewed the recommendations in 6JSC/TechnicalWG/4 in the context of the post-3R Toolkit in order to determine if the recommendations have been implemented and where further revision of RDA is required.

This proposal is organized by the 2014 recommendations.

Recommendations

2014 Recommendation 1

Replace the term "political jurisdiction" in RDA with "political body" or a similar term, and the term "religious jurisdiction" in RDA with "religious body" or a similar term.

Status: Implemented.

The March 2023 release of RDA Toolkit defines jurisdiction as 'A place that is governed by a law, regulation, constitution, court rule, or other legislation enacted by a corporate body that is a government.' The term government is defined as 'A totality of executive, legislative, and judicial corporate bodies exercising power over a jurisdiction.'

All instances of 'religious jurisdiction' in base RDA have been replaced with 'religious body.' Other instances of the term 'religious jurisdiction' are found in the Community refinements area of Community resources. Revisions to Community resources are out of scope.
Based on the above, the Places Working Group has concluded that 2014 Recommendation 1 has been implemented in RDA.

2014 Recommendation 2

Replace references in the RDA instructions to the unqualified term "jurisdiction" with a specific term chosen from "political body", "religious body", "territorial jurisdiction", "ecclesiastical jurisdiction", or similar terms, or other general terms established in RDA such as "place", as indicated in Table 1.

Status: Partially implemented.

In most areas of RDA, the terms of jurisdiction and government are used as they are defined in the Glossary. However there are a few places in RDA that still have confusing usage.

2023 Recommendation 1: Revise element definitions and instructions across RDA to clarify the distinction between a jurisdiction and a government.

For ease, each change is presented on a separate page.
2023 Recommendation 1A

date of establishment. Recording an unstructured description. Condition/Option 1

Marked-up version

CONDITION

A corporate body is a joint administration by two or more governments who claim jurisdiction governance over the same place.

Clean version

CONDITION

A corporate body is a joint administration by two or more governments who claim governance over the same place.
2023 Recommendation 1B

date of termination. Recording an unstructured description. 

Marked-up version

CONDITION

A corporate body is a joint administration by two or more governments who claim jurisdiction

go
erance over the same place.

Clean version

CONDITION

A corporate body is a joint administration by two or more governments who claim governance over the same place.
2023 Recommendation 1C

field of activity of corporate body

Definition and scope

Marked-up version

A field of endeavor, area of competence, or responsibility, jurisdiction, etc., in which a corporate body is engaged.

Clean version

A field of endeavor, area of competence, or responsibility in which a corporate body is engaged.
2023 Recommendation 1D

preferred name of corporate body. Different names or forms of name for the same corporate body.
Conventional name. Governments. Condition/Option 1

Marked-up version

CONDITION

A corporate body is a government.

A corporate body has an official name and a name of a place over which it exercises jurisdiction governance.

A value of Corporate Body: name of corporate body is an official name of a government that is not in common use.

CONDITION OPTION

Record a value of Place: name of place of a jurisdiction.

Clean version

CONDITION

A corporate body is a government.

A corporate body has an official name and a name of a place over which it exercises governance.

A value of Corporate Body: name of corporate body is an official name of a government that is not in common use.

CONDITION OPTION

Record a value of Place: name of place of a jurisdiction.
preferred name of corporate body. Different names or forms of name for the same corporate body. Conventional name. Governments. Condition/Option 2

Marked-up version

CONDITION

A corporate body is a government.

A corporate body has an official name and a name of a place over which it exercises jurisdiction governance.

A value of Corporate Body: name of corporate body is an official name of a government that is in common use.

CONDITION OPTION

Record an official name.

Clean version

CONDITION

A corporate body is a government.

A corporate body has an official name and a name of a place over which it exercises governance.

A value of Corporate Body: name of corporate body is an official name of a government that is in common use.

CONDITION OPTION

Record an official name.
2023 Recommendation 1F

preferred name of place. Recording an unstructured description. Different names for the same place. Two or more names appear in sources. Condition/Option 1

Marked-up version

CONDITION

Two or more names of place appear in sources of information.

CONDITION OPTION

Record a preferred name of place from (in this order of preference):

1. the form of the name found in a gazetteer or another source of information in a language preferred by the agent who creates the metadata
2. the form of the name found in a gazetteer or another source of information issued in the jurisdiction in which the place is located in the official language or languages of the government of the jurisdiction.

Clean version

CONDITION

Two or more names of place appear in sources of information.

CONDITION OPTION

Record (in this order of preference):

1. the form of the name found in a gazetteer or another source of information in a language preferred by the agent who creates the metadata
2. the form of the name found in a gazetteer or another source of information issued in the jurisdiction in which the place is located in the official language or languages of the government of the jurisdiction.
2023 Recommendation 1G

preferred name of place. Recording an unstructured description. Different names for the same place. Language. Condition/Option 1

Marked-up version

CONDITION

A name of place is in two or more languages.

CONDITION OPTION

Record (in this order of preference):

1. the form of the name in a language preferred by the agent who creates the metadata, if there is one in general use.
2. the form of the name in the official language of the government of the jurisdiction in which the place is located.

Clean version

CONDITION

A name of place is in two or more languages.

CONDITION OPTION

Record (in this order of preference):

1. the form of the name in a language preferred by the agent who creates the metadata, if there is one in general use.
2. the form of the name in the official language of the government of the jurisdiction in which the place is located.
2023 Recommendation 1H

**preferred name of place.** Recording an unstructured description. Different names for the same place. Language. [Condition/Option 3]

*Marked-up version*

**CONDITION**

A value of a name is in a language that is preferred by an agent who creates the metadata.

A name of place is the name of a government of the jurisdiction.

**CONDITION OPTION**

Record that form of name.

*Clean version*

**CONDITION**

A value of a name is in a language that is preferred by an agent who creates the metadata.

A name of place is the name of a government of the jurisdiction.

**CONDITION OPTION**

Record that form of name.
2023 Recommendation 1J

preferred name of place. Recording an unstructured description. Different names for the same place.

Language. Condition/Option 4

Marked-up version

CONDITION

No form of a name of place that is in general use is in a language preferred by the agent creating the data.

A government of a jurisdiction has one official language.

CONDITION OPTION

Record the form of name in the official language of the government of the jurisdiction in which the place is located.

Clean version

CONDITION

No form of a name of place that is in general use is in a language preferred by the agent creating the data.

A government of a jurisdiction has one official language.

CONDITION OPTION

Record the form of name in the official language of the government of the jurisdiction in which the place is located.
2023 Recommendation 1K

**preferred name of place.** Recording an unstructured description. Different names for the same place. Language. [Condition/Option 5](#)

*Marked-up version*

**CONDITION**

No form of a name of place that is in general use is in a language preferred by the agent creating the data.

A government of a jurisdiction has two or more official languages.

**CONDITION OPTION**

Record the form of name that appears most frequently in sources in a language preferred by the agent who creates the data.

*Clean version*

**CONDITION**

No form of a name of place that is in general use is in a language preferred by the agent creating the data.

A government of a jurisdiction has two or more official languages.

**CONDITION OPTION**

Record the form of name that appears most frequently in sources in a language preferred by the agent who creates the data.

2014 Recommendations 3 and 4

Encourage the use of a vocabulary encoding scheme for the RDA element Type of Corporate Body but do not specify a scheme.

AND

Add a definition for the RDA element Type of Corporate Body. A scope note should be added to clarify the inclusion of political body, religious body, and court.

*Status: Partially implemented*
The post-3R Toolkit has the element Corporate Body: category of corporate body with the definition 'A type to which a corporate body belongs.' The instructions for the element allow for the use of a VES, but a scheme is not specified, as was recommended in 2014 recommendation 3.

No scope note is present, contrary to the 2014 recommendation. However no other 'category' element in RDA includes a scope note; the Places Working Group believes that in the context of jurisdictions and governments a scope note is not needed.

2014 Recommendation 5

Add a definition for the RDA element Type of jurisdiction. A scope note should be added to clarify the inclusion of territorial jurisdiction and ecclesiastical jurisdiction.

Status: Partially implemented, but with substantial modification.

This recommendation was implemented in 3R as Corporate Body: category of corporate body. It should be noted that the original analysis for 'type of jurisdiction' indicate that this would be a categorization element for the Place entity. However discussion at the meeting where the proposal was discussed indicated that this element was actually applicable to the type of government that governs a jurisdiction, not as a further refinement of a jurisdiction as a place.

The recommendation for the scope note is no longer applicable.

2014 Recommendation 6

Add a definition and scope note for the RDA term "jurisdiction" to the RDA Glossary. The definition should indicate that a jurisdiction is a place.

Status: Implemented.

2014 Recommendation 7

Add a definition and scope note for the RDA term "court" to the RDA Glossary. The definition should indicate that a court is a corporate body.

Status: Not implemented.

2023 Recommendation 2: Propose a glossary term and definition for the concept ‘court.’
court: A corporate body that has legal authority to adjudicate disputes and administer justice. A court may include a tribunal, a court of first instance, a court of last resort, a court of limited jurisdiction, appellate, civil, constitutional, criminal, district, juvenile, international, military, or religious courts.

2014 Recommendation 8
Amend the definitions of the relationship designators appellant, appellee, enacting jurisdiction and jurisdiction governed. Amend the label of the relationship designator enacting jurisdiction. 

Status: Partially implemented.

The definitions for Work: appellant person, Work: appellant corporate body, and their inverses have been revised in accordance with the 2014 recommendation.

The definitions for Work: appellee person, Work: appellee corporate body, and their inverses have been revised in accordance with the 2014 recommendation.

The recommendations for revising the element name Work: enacting jurisdiction and its inverse has not been implemented in RDA.

2023 Recommendation 3: Revise the element name for Work: enacting jurisdiction to ‘enacting government’ and Corporate Body: enacting jurisdiction of to ‘enacting government of.’

Marked-up version

enacting jurisdiction government

Definition and Scope

A corporate body who is a government enacting a work that is a law, regulation, constitution, court rule, or other legislation.

enacting jurisdiction government of

Definition and Scope

A work that is a law, regulation, constitution, court rule, or other legislation enacted by a corporate body who is a government.

Clean version

enacting government

Definition and Scope

A corporate body who is a government enacting a work that is a law, regulation, constitution, court rule, or other legislation.

enacting government of

Definition and Scope
A work that is a law, regulation, constitution, court rule, or other legislation enacted by a corporate body who is a government.

[end]

The definition and element names for ‘jurisdiction governed’ present special problems and are discussed below.

**Jurisdiction governed**

Laws and regulations are enacted by governments, but those laws and regulations may only be applicable to the governance of certain jurisdictions. For example, a law passed by the United States Government might only be applicable to the governance of the jurisdiction Puerto Rico.

The pre-3R Toolkit attempted to provide a relationship that would describe the applicability of a law to a jurisdiction, named ‘jurisdiction governed.’ However its placement in appendix I.2.2, other agents associated with a work, suggested that the relationship was between the law (a work) and the government of the jurisdiction. The definition of **jurisdiction governed** ‘A jurisdiction governed by a law, regulation, etc., that was enacted by another jurisdiction,’ in the context of how **jurisdiction** and **government** are defined in official RDA, it should be read as ‘A corporate body that is a government that is governed by a law, regulation, etc., that was enacted by another government.’

We believe that part of the confusion with the applicability of a law is because of the naming conventions for governments that are provided for in RDA. A government may have a conventional name that is the name of a place. However, while a government and a place may share name values that are identical in their language and script, the two entities themselves are disjoint: one is a government and the other is a place:

Corporate Body A has appellation of corporate body "United States".

Place A has appellation of place "United States".

In our examination of the official Toolkit, the semantics of either of the scenarios (A law applicable to a place or A law applicable to a government of a place) are not present. Instead the relationship has been redefined so that it is relating a government to a jurisdiction (a place) that is governed by a different government. There is currently not a specific relationship between a law and the place that the law is applicable to or between a law and the government to which the law is applicable.

To sum up, three sets of relationships are needed in RDA:

1. between a law and the place that it is applicable to.
2. between a law and the government that it is applicable to.
3. between a government and the place it governs.
Because the relationship designator in original Toolkit jurisdiction governed was between a law and a
government identified using a place name as a conventional name, we believe that current RDA
element Corporate Body: jurisdiction governed and its inverse should be redefined to cover set 1. Because this re-definition would involve a change of domain, it will be necessary to deprecate the
current elements and re-establish them with domains of Work and Place.

In order to cover sets 2 and 3, new elements and inverses will need to be proposed.

**2024 Recommendation 4**: Deprecate the elements Corporate Body: jurisdiction governed and Place:
jurisdiction governed of.

**2023 Recommendation 5**: Add elements for Work: jurisdiction governed and Place: jurisdiction
governed of, in order to relate a work that is a law to the place it is applicable to.

*Clean version only*

jurisdiction governed: A place that is a jurisdiction that is governed by a law, regulation, constitution,
court rule, or other legislation.

jurisdiction governed of: A work that is law, regulation, constitution, court rule, or other legislation,
that governs a jurisdiction.

**2023 Recommendation 6**: Add elements for Work: applicable government and Corporate Body:
applicable government of, in order to relate a work that is a law to the government that it is applicable
to.

*Clean version only*

applicable government: A corporate body that is a government that is governed by a law, regulation,
constitution, court rule, or other legislation.

applicable government of: A work that is law, regulation, constitution, court rule, or other legislation
that governs a government.

**2023 Recommendation 7**: Add elements for Corporate Body: governing jurisdiction and Place:
governing jurisdiction of, in order to relate a corporate body that is a government to the place that it
governs.

*Clean version only*

governing jurisdiction: A place that is governed by a government.

governing jurisdiction of: A corporate body that is a government that governs a jurisdiction.
Impact

2023 Recommendations 1-2 are minor changes that are proposed for the sake of clarity and will have little to no impact on current cataloging practices.

2023 Recommendation 3, while only a change in label name, may require a significant amount of changes in library catalogs. A search of the Library of Congress online catalog on April 21, 2023 for ‘enacting jurisdiction’ reveals it has been used over 6,000 times.

2023 Recommendation 4-7 will have an impact as well, but only with regards to how the legacy Toolkit relationship designator of ‘jurisdiction governed’ was applied. A search of the Library of Congress online catalog on April 21, 2023, reveals 13 instances of the legacy Toolkit designator being used, and in all cases it is relating a law to a corporate body that is a government. In these cases, the proposed element ‘applicable government’ would be the appropriate replacement.

Summary of Recommendations

2023 Recommendation 1: Revise element definitions and instructions across RDA to clarify the distinction between a jurisdiction and a government.

2023 Recommendation 2: Propose a glossary term and definition for the concept ‘court.’

2023 Recommendation 3: Revise the element name for Work: enacting jurisdiction to ‘enacting government’ and Corporate Body: enacting jurisdiction of to ‘enacting government of.’

2024 Recommendation 4: Deprecate the elements Corporate Body: jurisdiction governed and Place: jurisdiction governed of.

2023 Recommendation 5: Add elements for Work: jurisdiction governed and Place: jurisdiction governed of, in order to relate a work that is a law to the place it is applicable to.

2023 Recommendation 6: Add elements for Work: applicable government and Corporate Body: applicable government of, in order to relate a work that is a law to the government that it is applicable to.

2023 Recommendation 7: Add elements for Corporate Body: governing jurisdiction and Place: governing jurisdiction of, in order to relate a corporate body that is a government to the place that it governs.